

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS

CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
RENZ D. JENNINGS
COMMISSIONER

MAY 2 7 1997
DOCKETED BY

Arizona Corporation Commission

IN THE MATTER OF U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S COMPLIANCE WITH § 271 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996. DOCKET NO. U-0000-97-238

DECISION NO. 602/8
ORDER

Open Meeting May 14, 1997 Phoenix, Arizona

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 added section 271 to the Communications Act of 1934. The purpose of section 271 is to specify the conditions that must be met in order for the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") to allow a Bell operating company ("BOC"), such as U S West Communications, Inc. ("U S West"), to provide in-region interLATA services. The conditions described in section 271 are intended to determine the extent to which local phone service is open to competition.
- 2. Section 271 (c)(2)(B) sets forth a fourteen point competitive checklist which specifies the access and interconnection a BOC must provide to other telecommunications carriers in order to satisfy the requirements of section 271. Section 271 (d)(2)(B) requires the FCC to consult with state commissions with respect to the BOCs compliance with the competitive checklist. Also, subsection (d)(2)(A) requires the FCC to consult with the United States Department of Justice.
- 3. Once the FCC receives a BOC's application for authority to provide in-region interLATA services, the FCC has 90 days to issue a written determination approving or denying that application. The FCC has indicated that once public notice is

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

made of a BOC's application, the relevant state commission must file its written comments within 20 days.

4. Given the abbreviated time frame for the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission") to consult with the FCC once the application is filed. it is necessary to begin preparing for U S West's application under section 271 immediately.

The ACC believes that the best way to prepare for U S West's application is to create a public record designed to analyze U S West's compliance with the provisions of section 271. Therefore, the ACC will open a docket and take comments from all interested parties.

- 5. All interested parties are encouraged to file their written position, and the information that supports their position in the docket. Staff has determined the information which it believes will be necessary to evaluate and make a recommendation on whether U S WEST meets the requirements of section 271 (Attachments A and B to this Order identify the information that Staff believes to be necessary for evaluating compliance with section 271. Attachment A identifies information that describes the general market conditions, the extent of existing competition, and the potential for its development. Attachment B identifies information that is specific to the FCC's fourteen point checklist). Interested parties should use this information as a guide for their submissions.
- 6. To expedite the review of this information and accelerate the introduction of full telecommunication competition in Arizona, U S West is strongly encouraged to file information related to a checklist item as soon as possible after it believes that it has satisfied the requirements of the specific item. Interested parties may then respond. Interested parties are not limited to responding to U S West. At any time, parties may file information related to specific checklist items or general market conditions.

The Commission believes the following time line is appropriate:

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
' <i>'</i> 18		
4.0		
20		
21	0	1

- 1. Within 21 days of this order, parties should file a notice of interest in this docket. Any additional items or questions any interested Party believes should be included in Attachment A and B should be filed within this 21 day period. Staff will timely file notice in the docket and notice all parties of any additions to Attachments A and B.
- 2. As soon as possible after U S West believes that it has satisfied a particular competitive checklist item, it should file with the ACC. Five business days prior to the filing, U S West should serve a notice of intent to file with each of the interested parties.
- 3. US West should file the information following established ACC procedures. It should serve the filing on all parties who have filed a notice of interest. If US West (or any other party) believes that the necessary information is confidential, it will complete the necessary protective arrangements prior to filing the information.
- 4. Interested parties will have 30 business days to file replies or comments related to U S West's filings. U S West will have 15 business days to respond to any replies or comments filed by other parties. Again, these filings should follow established ACC procedures and should be served on U S West and all other interested parties.
- 5. All interested parties may file information related to general telecommunications market conditions in Arizona at any time in this docket. Interested parties are required to respond specifically to question 3, Attachment A, within 10 days of U S West's notification of its intent to file with the FCC. However. U S West must file all information responsive to Attachments A and B of this order no later than 90 days before its FCC filing.
- 6. Any information filed with the Commission must be served on all parties who have filed notice of interest in the docket.

U S West is encouraged to consult with Staff on matters related to content, timing, and protection of any information they intend to file in this docket prior to any filings.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Commission has the authority to open a proposed rulemaking pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 40-202, -203, -204, -250, -321, -322, -328, -329, -331, -332, -361, -365, and -367, and under the Arizona Constitution, Art. 15, sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9.

28

27

22

23

24

25

Act of 1996. DISSENT

ORDER

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED that the Commission Staff shall open a docket for the evaluation of U S West's compliance with § 271 of the Telecommunications

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the evaluation of U S West's compliance with § 271 will be conducted according to the procedures described in this Order.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

/ /				
AUTNESS	WHEREOF, I,	GEOFFREY	E. GC	NSHER
	ratary at the Asi	zona Gorborai		1111100.0.
1 - L	and my hand at	id caused the (ulliciai Si	cal Or uni
Commission to	be affixed at the	e Capitol, ill till 1997	e City Of	1 11001111
this 210 day	01 1.14			

GEOFFREY BY GONSHER Executive Secretary

DISSENT_____

DECISION NO. 60218

	SERVICE LIST FOR:	In the Matter of U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s
7	SERVICE LIST FOR.	Compliance With § 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
2		DOCKET NO. R-0000-97-238
]]		
3∥		BOUGE MEVEDSON
	TIMOTHY BERG	BRUCE MEYERSON STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
4	FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 2600	40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE: 24TH FLOOR
5	PHOENIX AZ 85012-3913	PHOENIX, AZ 85004-4453
~	3 <u>-</u> 302. <u>-</u> 30.00	ATTORNEY FOR TCG PHOENIX
6	MR JIM ROOF	
_#	U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS	DEBORAH S. WALDBAUM, ESQ
/	3033 N 3RD STREET ROOM 1017	WESTERN REGION OFFICE 201 NORTH CIVIC DRIVE, SUITE 210
8	PHOENIX AZ 85012	WALNUT CREEK CA 94596
ااد	RUSSELL P. ROWE	
9	U.S. WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC.	THOMAS H CAMPBELL
-	1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 5	
10	DENVER CO 80202	40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE
ارر	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PHOENIX, AZ 85003 ATTORNEYS FOR MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION
11	ERIC J BRANFMAN	ATTORNEYS FOR MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES INC
12	SWINDLER & BERLIN 3000 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 300	GENVICES INC
14	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20007-5116	THOMAS F DIXON JR
13	ATTORNEYS FOR GST TUCSON LIG	
		707 SEVENTEENTH STREET
14	LEX SMITH	DENVER CO 80202
7-	MICHAEL W PATTON	TUCKAS L BALIBAAIA/
15	BROWN & BAIN P A	THOMAS L MUMAW SNELL & WILMER, L L P
16	2901 N CENTRAL AVE	ONE ARIZONA CENTER
'0	PHOENIX AZ 85001-0400	400 EAST VAN BUREN
17	ATTORNEYS FOR ACSI	PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001
		ATTORNEYS FOR BROOKS FIBER COMMUNICATIONS OF
18	11	TUCSON INC
أمر	2929 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE 21	
19		DONALD A LOW SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L P
20	PHOENIX: ARIZONA 85067-6379 ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T COMMUNIC	
20	STATES INC.	KANSAS CITY, MO 64114
21		
	DANIEL WAGGONER	GREG PATTERSON
22	MARY E STEELE	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
	2600 CENTURY SQUARE	2828 N CENTRAL AVE. SUITE 1200
23	11	PHOENIX AZ 85004
24	SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688	CARRINGTON PHILLIP
24	RUSSELL M. BLAU	COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
25	11	1400 LAKE HEARN DRIVE
_0	SWIDLER & BERLIN CHARTERED	ATLANTA GA 30319
26	300 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 300	
	WASHINGTON D.C. 20007-5116	
27	ATTORNEYS FOR MFS COMMUNI	ICATIONS COMPANY
	II INC	

[]		
1	JERRY PORTER	MS JOAN C HINSON
i	EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT TO THE GOVERNOR	TCA ARIZONA CHAPTER PRESIDENT
2	OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR	TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION
	1700 WEST WASHINGTON STREET	JOHN C LINCOLN HOSPITAL
3	PHOENIX AZ 85007	250 EAST DUNLAP
		PHOENIX AZ 85020
4	RICHARD SILVER MAN	
	GENERAL MANAGER	ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY
5	SALT RIVER PROJECT - PAB300	5253 NORTH DROMEDARY ROAD
	P O BOX 52025	PHOENIX AZ 85018
6	PHOENIX AZ 85072-2025	MO ELLEN CORKUNA
7	AND CHARLED DAMILLED	MS ELLEN CORKHILL
_ ′	MR CHARLES R MILLER	COORDINATOR AARP
8	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MOUNTAIN STATES	5606 NORTH 17TH STREET
	2800 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE SUITE 828	PHOENIX AZ 85016
9	PHOENIX AZ 85004	7 (10E141X 7 2 030 10
	THOUSANCE GOOD	VALLEY TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE INC
10	MR RAYMOND HEYMAN	P O BOX 699
	ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF	752 EAST MALEY
11	400 NORTH 5TH STREET SUITE 1000	WILLCOX AZ 85643-1304
	PHOENIX AZ 85004-3902	
12		MR KENNETH F MELLEY JR
40	MS SUSAN MCADAMS	U S LONG DISTANCE INC
13	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE	9311 SAN PEDRO - SUITE 300
14	P O BOX 4678	SAN ANTONIO TX 78216
14:	VANCOUVER WA 98662	MS JEAN L KIDDOO ESQ
15	MR MICHAEL A MORRIS	SWIDLER & BERLIN CHARTERED
	REGIONAL DIRECTOR	3000 K STREET NW - SUITE 300
16	REGULATORY & EXTERNAL AFFAIRS	WASHINGTON DC 20007-3841
	TCG TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP	
17	ONE BUSH STREET - SUITE 510	MR BOB WHIPPLE
	SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104-4406	STENOCALL
18		1515 AVENUE J
	ALAN SPARKS	P O BOX 10127
19		LUBBOCK TX 79408
20	COX COMMUNICATIONS	MR MILE SCHULTIES
20	17602 NORTH BLACK CANYON HWY	TAFF MANAGER - REGULATORY
21	PHOENIX AZ 85023	ALLTEL SERVICE CORP
21	MR MICHAEL GRANT	1 ALLIED DRIVE
22	JOHNSTON MAYNARD GRANT & PARKER PLC	LITTLE ROCK AR 72202
	3200 NORTH CENTRAL - SUITE 2300	
23	PHOENIX AZ 85012-2443	MR RICK MCALLISTER
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	MANAGER REGULATORY
24	MS JUDITH A D HOLCOMB	ALLTEL WESTERN REGION
	U S WEST NEWVECTOR	P O BOX 3373
25	U S HWY 60 EAST OF MAGDALENA	LITTLE ROCK AR 72203-3373
~~	P O BOX 144	
26	MAGDALENA NM 87825	
27		
21		

STEVEN M WHEELER SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 EAST VAN BUREN STREET 3 PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001 4 MS BETH ANN BURNS CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY 5 2901 N CENTRAL AVENUE - SUITE 1660 PHOENIX AZ 85012-2736 6 CHARLES BORN CITIZENS UTILITIES COMPANY P O BOX 496020 8 REDDING CA 96049-6020 9 MR THOMAS F DIXON MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP 707 17TH STREET 10 DENVER CO 80202 11 JOE O'NEIL 12 U S WEST NEWVECTOR GROUP MS B24 13 P O BOX 96087 BELLEVUE WA 98009-9697 14 PATRICK QUINN 15 SUZANNE MASON U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC 16 3033 NORTH THIRD STREET, ROOM 1014 PHOENIX AZ 85012 17 MR JOE HANLEY MANAGER 18 ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY 2236 WEST SHANGRI-LA ROAD 19 PHOENIX AZ 85029 20 JANINE BURKE SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY LP 21 8140 WARD PARKWAY 5E KANSAS CITY MO 64114 22 MR JAMAL ALLEN 23 O'CONNOR CAVANAUGH ANDERSON WESTOVER & BESHEARS 24 ONE EAST CAMELBACK - SUITE 1100 PHOENIX AZ 85012 25 MR TONY DITIRRO 26 MCI COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION 201 SPEAR STREET 9TH FLOOR

MR JOHN COLEMAN
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
2600 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE #300
PHOENIX AZ 85004

MR ERIC ARTMAN
MFS COMMUNICATIONS CO INC
185 BERRY ST. BLDG 1
SUITE 5100
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107

MR JOHN O LAUE
COMMUNICATIONS ENGINEERING SUPERVISOR
CITY OF TEMPE
MANAGEMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
132 EAST 6TH STREET SUITE B109
TEMPE AZ 85280

MR JOE HOMMEL
ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE
8100 N E PARKWAY DRIVE SUITE 200
VANCOUVER WA 98662

MR FRED M SHEPHERD NCE TELEPHONE DIVISION MANAGER TOHONO O'ODHAM UTILITY AUTHORITY P O BOX 816 SELLS AZ 85634

MR DAREL ESCHBACH
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY
BOX 870201
TEMPE AZ 85287-0201

MR JERRY JAMES
VICE PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS
LDDS METROMEDIA
1705 S CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY #100
AUSTIN TX 78746-6551

MR JIM BROSHAR
EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT
ROCKY MOUNTAIN TELECOM ASSOCIATION
10105 EAST VIA LINDA SUITE 103-340
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85258

MR TIM DELANEY BROWN & BAIN PA 2901 NORTH CENTRAL P O BOX 400 PHOENIX AZ 85001-0400

27

SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

1	MR PAUL SCHNEIDER	JERRY JAMES
2	ARIZONA BUSINESS GAZETTE	LDDS METROMEDIA 8303 MOPAC SUITE 146-C
2	P O BOX 1950 PHOENIX AZ 85001	AUSTIN TX 78759
3		
4	MR JEFFREY WEIR	J SCOTT NICHOLS U S ONE COMMUNICATIONS
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SOUTHERN GILA COUNTY	1320 CHAIN BRIDGE RD SUITE 350
5	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	MCLEAN VA 22101
	P O BOX 1351	EDED CHEDHED
6	GLOBE AZ 85502	FRED SHEPHERD TOHONO O'ODHAM UTILITY AUTHORITY
7	MS SUE WILLIAMS	P O BOX 816
	DIRECTOR REGULATORY AFFAIRS	SELLS AZ 85364
8	TELTRUST COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC	TERRY DOCC
9	221 NORTH CHARLES LINDBERGH DRIVE	TERRY ROSS CENTER FOR ENERGY & ECONOMIC DEV
9	SALT LAKE CITY UT 84116	7853 E ARAPAHOE COURT SUITE 2600
10	MR MIKE LAUGHLIN	ENGLEWOOD CO 80112
	DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS	DETER 01 405D
11	NORSTAN COMMUNICATIONS	PETER GLASER DOHERTY RUMBLE & BUTLER
12	6900 WEDGEWOOD ROAD MAPLE GROVE MN 55311	1401 NEW YORK AVE N W SUITE 1100
12	WATER STORY	WASHINGTON DC 20005
13	B)	TO 1 D 1 D 5
4.4	VICE PRESIDENT OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS	TOM BADE GREG RIGGLE
14	TIMES MIRROR CABLE TELEVISION 17602 NORTH BLACK CANYON HIGHWAY	GCB COMMUNICATIONS
15	PHOENIX AZ 85023	1025 E BROADWAY SUIRE 201
		TEMPE AZ 85282
16	11	MARTIN A ARONSON
17	ADMINISTRATOR FIRE DEPARTMENT COMPUTER SERVICES	WILLIAM D CLEAVELAND
.,	CITY OF PHOENIX	ANGELA M CASTELLANO
18	150 S 12TH STREET	BEUS GILBERT & MORRILL
40	PHOENIX AZ 85034	3200 N CENTRAL SUITE 1000 PHOENIX AZ 85012
19	CATHERINE A NICHOLS	THOUSAND SOUT
20	II ·	JENNIFER S POMERY
	220 WEST SIXTH STREET	U S WEST CELLULAR
21		3350 161ST AVENUE SE P O BOX 96087
22	TUCSON AZ 85702	BELLEVUE WA 98009
~ ~	TERRY TRAPP PRESIDENT	
23	11	JODIE CARO
~ .	274 SNYDER MOUNTAIN ROAD	MFS COMMUNICATIONS CO INC 999 OAKMONT PLAZA DR APT 400
24	EVERGREEN CO 80439	WESTMONT IL 60519-5516
25	JOHN COLMAN	
	ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE	JERRY JAMES
26	l)	LDDS METROMEDIA
Ω-	PHOENIX AZ 85004	8303 MOPAC SUITE 146-C AUSTIN TX 78759
27		ACCINETA 10.00

JOHANNA HOLLAND PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIRECTOR PHOENIX CHAMBER OF COMMERCE 201 N CENTRAL AVE 27TH FLOOR PHOENIX AZ 85073 4 ALAN SPARKS COX COMMUNICATIONS 5 17602 N BLACK CANYON HWY PHOENIX AZ 85023 6 JACK TRAHAN WESTERN ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIONS 8 2332 KINGMAN AVENUE KINGMAN AZ 86401. 9 CINDY Z SCHONHAUT 10 MES COMMUNICATIONS CO INC 3000 K STREET N W SUITE 300 11 WASHINGTON DC 20007 12 JESSE W SEARS ASSISTANT CHIEF COUNSEL 13 CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE CITY OF PHOENIX 14 200 WEST WASHINGTON 13TH FLOOR PHOENIX AZ 85003-1611 15 PETER Q NYCE JR 16 REGULATORY LAW OFFICE U.S. ARMY LITIGATION CENTER 17 901 N STUART STREET SUITE 713 **ARLINGTON VA 22203-1837** 18 CHARLES L BEST 19 ATTORNEY AT LAW 522 S W FIFTH AVENUE 20 PORTLAND OR 97204 21 WILLIAM POLLARD KLP & ASSOCIATES 22 8526 TORWOODLEE COURT **DUBLIN OH 43017-9739** 23 **GARY YAQUINTO** 24 **GST TELECOM** ONE ARIZONA CENTER 25 400 E VAN BUREN SUITE 350 PHOENIX AZ 85004 26 **BILL MEEK** 27 2100 N CENTRAL AVE SUITE 210 28 PHOENIX AZ 85004

JANET REGNER
BETTY PRUITT
ACAA
202 E MCDOWELL #255
PHOENIX AZ 85004

LINDY FUNKHOUSER, CHIEF COUNSEL LEGAL DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85007

CARL DABELSTEIN
DIRECTOR UTILITIES DIVISION
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET
PHOENIX AZ 85007

Attachment A General Telecommunications Market Conditions in Arizona 2 1) Status of state commission proceedings to implement the local competition 3 provisions of the telecommunications Act of 1996 ("1996 Act"). 4 2) Identity of the entities that have been certified by the state to provide: 5 a) facilities based local exchange service. 6 b) resold local exchange service. 7 C) exchange access service. 8 3) Whether the entities in 2 are providing business exchange service, residential exchange service, business exchange access service, or residential exchange 9 access service (identifying special or switched access). If the competitor is not providing any of these services, does it plan to. When? 10 4) The identity of the entities that have requested: 11 a) interconnection from U.S. West. 12 unbundled elements from U.S. West. b) 13 the ability to resell U S West's services. C) 14 The date the requests were made and the extent to which U S West and the 15 requesting entity have entered into binding agreements, as well as copies of any such agreements. 16 5) The number of access lines in Arizona that are served by U.S. West's a) 17 competitors. 18 The number and location of U S West's switches that are connected to loops b) served by competitors. 19 The scope of the geographic areas for which the competitors' services are C) 20 available. 21 d) The number and types of customers for which the competitors' services are available 22 The extent to which each competitor is using its own facilities to provide e) service or is using unbundled or resold services obtained from U.S. West. 23 24 A description of the competitors' facilities in operation in U S West's service f) area. 25 Whether the competitor is currently expanding its facilities and when the g) 26 expansion is expected to be completed. 27 The average provisioning intervals and maintenance times for services U.S. h) West provides to competitors compared to those it provides to itself. 28

The number of access lines U.S. West serves in Arizona. 6) 11 a) 2 The number, type, and location of U.S. West's switches in Arizona. b) The number and types of customers for which U S West's services are 3 C) available. 4 The amount of revenues that U S West derived from Arizona in the most d) recent year, broken down by basic residential service, basic business 5 service, intraLATA toll, access charges, and other services. 6 Any reports, studies, or analyses available, and created within the past year, that 7) contain data on market shares of U S West and local telephone service competitors. 7 or compare volumes of traffic, revenues, or facilities of the BOC and local competitors. Also, any evaluation of the likely entry, success or rate of growth of 8 competitors or potential competitors. Proprietary information provided pursuant to this paragraph will be available pursuant to Protective Agreement, and will be 9 disclosed only to the Commission, unless the parties can demonstrate compelling need for disclosure of information. Parties that file information designated as 10 proprietary information are required to file a notice that generally describes what 11 information is considered proprietary. 12 A description of all complaints involving Arizona made to U S West, to the Arizona 8) Corporation Commission, to the FCC, or other governmental authorities by other entities that have requested and/or received interconnection. 13 Information demonstrating that authorization of U S West to provide interLATA 14 9) service will be carried out in accordance with the requirements of Section 272 as 15 required by Section 271(d)(3)(B). All evidence supporting U S West's assertion that U S West's provision of interLATA 16 10) service will be in the public interest as required under Section 271(d)(3)(C). 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

- 11					
1		Attachment B Information Directly Relevant to the Competitive Checklist			
3	1)	Interco 252(d)	connection in accordance with the requirements of sections $251(c)(2)$ and $0(1)$.		
4		a)	What points are available for interconnection with U S West?		
5		b)	Do these points include physical collocation, virtual collocation or another form of collocation?		
6		c)	What is the pricing methodology used for interconnection?		
7		d)	What competitors have interconnected with U S West?		
8		e)	At what U S West switching equipment (central office, end office, tandem, etc.) have competitors interconnected and by what means for each office?		
10 11	2)		scriminatory access to network elements in accordance with the requirements $251(c)(3)$ and $252(d)(1)$.		
12		a)	What network elements are offered by U S West?		
13		b)	What is the pricing methodology is used for the elements?		
14		c)	What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?		
15		d)	What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?		
16 17		e)	What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?		
18		f)	What entities have requested elements?		
19		g)	What entities have actually purchased the elements?		
20		h)	What entities are actually providing service utilizing, in part, elements purchased from U S West?		
21 22	3)	contro	scriminatory access to the poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way owned or olled by the Bell operating company at just and reasonable rates in accordance the requirements of section 224.		
23		a)	Do U S West and other providers have the same access to poles, ducts, and		
24		b)	rights-of-way? What price does U S West charge and what is the pricing methodology for access to poles, ducts, and rights-of-way?		
25 26		c)	Concerning operation in Arizona, does U S West believe that they have a different legal status concerning access to rights of way than a competitive provider? If so, what is the justification for any such difference?		
27 28	4)		loop transmission from the central office to the customer's premises, added from local switching or other services.		

- 11			
1	•	a)	What network elements are offered by U S West?
2		b)	What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
3		c)	What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
4		d)	What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
5 6		e)	What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
7		f)	What entities have requested elements?
8		g)	What entities have actually purchased the elements?
9		h)	What entities are actually providing service utilizing, in part, elements purchased from U S West?
0	5)	Local unbur	transport from the trunk side of a wireline local exchange carrier switch idled from switching or other services.
2		a)	What network elements are offered by U S West?
3		b)	What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
4		c)	What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
5		d)	What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
6		e)	What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?
8		f)	What entities have requested elements?
9		g)	What entities have actually purchased the elements?
20		h)	What entities are actually providing service utilizing in part, elements purchased from U S West?
21	6)	Local	switching unbundled from transport, local loop transmission, or other services.
22		a)	What network elements are offered by U S West?
23		b)	What is the pricing methodology used for the elements?
24 25		c)	What elements have been requested by entities seeking interconnection and access?
26		d)	What is the record concerning U S West's responsiveness to such requests?
27 20		e)	What elements have actually been sold to entities seeking interconnection and access?

}			
1		c)	What entities have chosen not to utilize inclusion of their customers in U S West's white pages listings?
3	9) Until plan, assig		the date by which telecommunications numbering administration guidelines, or rules are established, nondiscriminatory access to telephone numbers for nment to the other carrier's telephone exchange service customers. After that compliance with such guidelines, plan, or rules.
5		a)	Who is the number administrator for Arizona?
6 7	·	b)	If U S West is the number administrator for Arizona, is there a date certain by which it will no longer perform that function?
8	10)		iscriminatory access to databases and associated signaling necessary for call g and completion.
9		a)	What entities have requested to purchase such database and signaling services from U S West?
11		b)	What entities have purchased such database and signaling services from U S West?
12		c)	What are the prices and pricing methodology for such database and signaling services?
131415	to red remo arran		he date by which the Commission issues regulations pursuant to section 251 uire number portability, interim telecommunications number portability through the call forwarding, direct inward dialing trunks, or other comparable gements, with as little impairment of functioning, quality, reliability, and enience as possible. After that date, full compliance with such regulations.
16		a)	Is number portability being provided on an interim or full compliance basis?
17 18		b)	If it is on an interim basis, what are the characteristics of the interim system and when will full number portability be implemented?
19		c)	Is U S West providing carrier, geographic, or service number portability or any combination of the three?
20 21	1 12) Nond the requirement (a) (a) (a) (b)	d)	What is the pricing methodology used to determine charges for number portability?
22		iscriminatory access to such services or information as are necessary to allow equesting carrier to implement local dialing parity in accordance with the rements of section 251(b)(3).	
23			Is U S West providing dialing parity for both local and intraLATA toll service?
24 25		ŕ	If not, is U S West capable of providing such parity and will it provide it prior to the time when it offers interLATA service or at the time that it offers interLATA service?
2627		c)	Does U S West have any ACC, state court, federal court, FCC, or legislative action pending related to the provision of intraLATA and local dialing parity?

- 11				
1		d)	To who	at percentage of its customers will U S West provide intraLATA dialing to prior to being released from its in-region interLATA restrictions?
3	13)		rocal con n 252(d	ompensation arrangements in accordance with the requirements of d)(2).
4		a)	What r	reciprocal compensation arrangements does U S West have in Arizona ompeting carriers?
5		b) •	What i	reciprocal compensation arrangements does US West have in Arizona ther incumbent carriers?
7		c)	netwo on U S	e interconnection is in place, how does traffic terminated on other rks (competitors and other incumbents) compare with traffic terminated S West's network? This can be expressed as percentages, number of ic calls, minutes of use, or other measure.
9 10	14)			sications services are available for resale in accordance with the of sections 251(c)(4) and 252(d)(3).
11 12		a)		all of the services offered by U S West been made available for resale same terms previously offered?
13		p)	If not, to res	which services have been withdrawn or changed in terms with respect ale?
14		c)	What	are the percentage discounts offered for resold services?
15			i)	The specific tariffed resale rates
16			ii)	Negotiated rates by specific contract.
17		d)	What,	if any, limitations does U S West impose on the resale of its services?
18		e)	Are th	ere currently any formal disputes related to the pricing of services for
19 20		f)		here currently any formal disputes related to the services or the tion of services for resale?
21		g)	Have tariffe	any entities requested to purchase services from U S West at specific d rates (not including negotiated agreements)?
22 23		h)	Are a tariffe	iny entities currently purchasing services from U.S. West at specific drates (not including negotiated agreements)?
24		i)	Are a	ny negotiations pending for the purchase of services for resale?
25		j)	Are a	ny entities currently purchasing services from U S West pursuant to a tiated agreement?
26 27		k)	How	much revenue does the resale of services generate for U S West?
20				